

involving this much money, will be debated in the dead of night in such a limited time frame.

Mr. Speaker, this bill should not be here at all this week.

REQUEST FOR CONSIDERATION OF AMENDMENT NO. 2-2 OUT OF ORDER DURING CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 1555, COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1995

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that when the Committee of the Whole resumes consideration of the bill H.R. 1555 pursuant to House Resolution 207 on the legislative day of August 3, 1995, it shall be in order to consider the amendment numbered 2-2 in House Report 104-223 notwithstanding earlier consideration of the amendment numbered 2-3 in that report on the legislative day of August 2, 1995.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

Mr. BARTON of Texas. Reserving the right to object, Mr. Speaker, could I inquire of the distinguished ranking member of the Committee on Commerce if that means that the debate on the Conyers amendment would not be tonight, but would be tomorrow? Is that the intent of the gentleman's unanimous-consent request?

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BARTON of Texas. I yield to the gentleman from Michigan.

Mr. DINGELL. The gentleman is correct.

Mr. BARTON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, Further reserving the right to object, I had asked for the same consideration. I am supporting the Stupak amendment, which is only 10 minutes of debate time, and it asks for the same consideration. The gentleman from Colorado [Mr. SCHAEFER], the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. STUPAK], and myself are in continuing negotiations, and it is quite likely that we would have an agreement so that there would not have to be even a vote on that amendment, and I was told that we could not do that.

Well, if we cannot do that, I am going to object to the gentleman from Michigan doing it.

Now if we can get unanimous consent that our little 10-minute debate can also be tomorrow, then I will not object.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BARTON of Texas. I yield to the gentleman from Michigan.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman would permit, that has been discussed with the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. CONYERS]. He feels no objection. I have discussed it with other members of the committee and other Members managing the legislation. This meets the approval of the leadership on the Republican side.

I would urge the gentleman to go along. It does not prejudice the gen-

tleman from Michigan [Mr. STUPAK], who happens to be a very close friend and comes from the same State I do.

Mr. BARTON of Texas. If we could get agreement that the Stupak amendment, which is only 10 minutes of debate, could be tomorrow, then I will withdraw my reservation of objection.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman would yield, I have no objection to the gentleman making that unanimous-consent request.

Mr. HYDE. Mr. Speaker, if the gentleman will yield, the gentleman from Philadelphia, Pennsylvania [Mr. FATTAH] is just about to make a privileged motion.

Now we are going to get along here, we are going to have unanimous-consents, we are going to try and move along. Many of us share the discomfort of the hour. But look. We want to get out on our recess, but is the gentleman going to move to adjourn, because if so, it is going to be difficult to agree to much around here.

So, I do not know if the gentleman wishes to disclose what his privileged motion is, but I suspect it is going to be to adjourn.

Mr. BARTON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I am not sure of the parliamentary procedure, but, if I have the right, I would ask that the Dingell unanimous-consent request be amended so that the Stupak amendment will also be rolled until tomorrow.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BARTON of Texas. Further reserving the right to object, I yield to the gentleman from Michigan.

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, would the gentleman withhold his unanimous-consent request and let me make mine?

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair will entertain one unanimous-consent request at this time.

Mr. BARTON of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I withdraw my reservation of objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Michigan?

Mr. BRYANT of Texas. Reserving the right to object, Mr. Speaker, I would like to ask the gentleman what the purpose of wanting to change the order of consideration of the amendments is. Is he concerned that no one will be here to pay attention to the Conyers amendment if the unanimous-consent request is not granted?

Mr. DINGELL. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman yield?

Mr. BRYANT of Texas. I yield to the gentleman from Michigan.

Mr. DINGELL. The gentleman from Michigan [Mr. CONYERS] had indicated he wishes to do business with his amendment tomorrow. I think that is a fine idea, and I would like to see him have that opportunity.

Mr. BRYANT of Texas. Where is the gentleman from Michigan [Mr. CONYERS], and why is he not making this request?

Mr. DINGELL. It just so happens, I will inform the gentleman, that I am, according to what I understand, the manager of the bill on this side, and I am simply trying to proceed and carry out those functions.

Mr. BRYANT of Texas. Mr. Speaker, I object.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Objection is heard.

MOTION TO ADJOURN

Mr. FATTAH. Mr. Speaker, I offer a privileged motion.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Clerk will report the motion.

The Clerk read as follows:

Mr. FATTAH moves that the House do now adjourn.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. FATTAH].

The question was taken; and the Speaker pro tempore announced that the noes appeared to have it.

RECORDED VOTE

Mr. FATTAH. Mr. Speaker, I demand a recorded vote.

A recorded vote was ordered.

The vote was taken by electronic device, and there were ayes 89, noes 216, not voting 129, as follows:

[Roll No. 617]

AYES—89

Ackerman	Hilliard	Mink
Baldacci	Hinchey	Mollohan
Becerra	Jackson-Lee	Nadler
Berman	Jacobs	Neal
Bishop	Jefferson	Obey
Brown (CA)	Kaptur	Orton
Brown (OH)	Kennedy (MA)	Owens
Bryant (TX)	Kennedy (RI)	Pallone
Clay	Kennelly	Payne (NJ)
Conyers	Klink	Pelosi
Danner	LaFalce	Rahall
DeLauro	Lewis (GA)	Rangel
Dixon	Lofgren	Reed
Doggett	Lowey	Richardson
Durbin	Luther	Roybal-Allard
Edwards	Maloney	Rush
Engel	Markey	Sanders
Evans	Mascara	Schumer
Fattah	McCarthy	Scott
Fazio	McDermott	Serrano
Fields (LA)	McHale	Slaughter
Filner	McKinney	Spratt
Ford	McNulty	Thompson
Frank (MA)	Meehan	Torres
Furse	Meek	Tucker
Gejdenson	Menendez	Ward
Gephardt	Mfume	Waters
Gonzalez	Miller (CA)	Wise
Hastings (FL)	Mineta	Woolsey
Hayes	Minge	

NOES—216

Allard	Boucher	Coble
Armey	Brewster	Coburn
Bachus	Browder	Collins (GA)
Baessler	Brown (FL)	Condit
Baker (CA)	Bryant (TN)	Cooley
Ballenger	Bunn	Cox
Barcia	Burr	Cramer
Barr	Burton	Crane
Bartlett	Buyer	Crapo
Barton	Calvert	Cremeans
Beilenson	Camp	Cubin
Bentsen	Castle	Cunningham
Bereuter	Chabot	Davis
Bliley	Chambliss	Deal
Blute	Chapman	DeLay
Boehlert	Christensen	Dickey
Boehner	Clayton	Dingell
Bonilla	Clement	Dooley
Bonior	Clyburn	Doolittle